

European

Connecting the smart community



Smart TSO-DSO interaction schemes, market architectures and ICT Solutions for the integration of ancillary services from demand side management and distributed generation

Market Architectures Integrating Ancillary Services from Distributed Energy Resources

# **Olivier Devolder** *Head of Energy Group* N-SIDE



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No 691405



# Market architecture for TSO-DSO coordination schemes

Defining a new ancillary service (AS) market for TSO-DSO coordination schemes

#### What is required?

- Ensure a safe AS procurement at the lowest cost for system operators
- Extract flexibility of **distributed energy resources** (DERs) in an efficient way
- Allow a level playing field for competition between different sources of flexibility
- Valorize flexibility at its **real value** for the power system

#### What has to be avoided?

- Discouraging participation of DERs by not taking into account their constraints
- Creating congestion and/or voltage problem by activation at a wrong location
- Making myopic real-time decisions that compromise an efficient balancing management for future time steps
- Doing unnecessary activations that increase cost and/or risk for system operators











The market is a **closed-gate auction**. The clearing frequency is chosen close to real-time (e.g. **5 minutes**).

6....

The market uses a **rolling optimization**. The optimization window (or horizon) contains several time steps (e.g. **1 hour**)

Output of the first time step is a firm decision. It contains the actual activation of flexible assets and has to be followed by the aggregators/owners.



Output of the next time steps are advisory decisions. They will assist the aggregators and the TSO to anticipate the availability of flexibility in the upcoming time steps.

- = firm output decisions
- = provisionary output decisions







Market allows various bid format, accompanied by temporal and logical constraints:









### Market Design: Network



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Photo source: Technical University of Munich (http://ens.ei.tum.de)







- <u>Maximization of Welfare</u> versus <u>Minimization of Activation Costs</u>
- Should we only activate what is necessary, or favor extra welfare by allowing additional exchanges?













Options to define the price received by activated bids:

A. Pay as Bid: The same price that was provided during the bidding.

**Pros**: Simplifies the pricing mechanism. **Cons**: It does not encourage to bid at real marginal cost of flexibility. Reduces welfare. Reduces transparency.

**B.** Zonal Marginal Price: One price per region/zone/area.

**Pros**: Projects value of flexibility at each zone. Less complex than nodal pricing. **Cons**: How to define the zone sizes? One price for each distribution network? Does not reflect real local needs in pricing

**C.** Locational Marginal Price: A single price at each node of the transmission and distribution(s) networks.

**Pros**: Projects real value of flexibility at each node. **Cons**: Complex pricing mechanism. Intuitiveness.



Locational Marginal Pricing as the preferred option



## Market Design: Pricing

- Calculating Distributed Locational Marginal Prices (DLMP) in which the clearing algorithm assigns individual prices for each node of the grid.
- A difference between DLMPs is a result of two phenomena in the lines:
  - Energy losses (as a result of non-zero impedance)
  - Network constraints (line capacity limits and congestions)





Defining a new ancillary service (AS) market for TSO-DSO coordination schemes:

What is required?

- AS procurement at the lowest cost Mathematical optimization
- Allow a level playing field for competition Variety of market products
- Valorize flexibility at its real value \_\_\_\_\_ Nodal pricing

What has to be avoided?

- Not taking into account DERs' **constraints** Complex products
- Creating congestion and voltage problem Integrated detailed network model —
- Making myopic decisions \_\_\_\_\_ Rolling optimization
- Doing unnecessary activations Smart objective function

- Extract flexibility of **DERs** Open for aggregated/non-aggregated bids



- Next steps:
  - Implementation of the different market designs for the different TSO-DSO coordination schemes
  - **Test** the different TSO-DSO market architectures with different parameters
  - **Report** on speed vs accuracy tradeoff
- Challenges
  - **Tractability** issues: solving a MIP (market clearing) in a few minutes, while considering: transmission and distribution grid constraints, a receding time horizon and complex market products (integer variables)
  - **Data** availability: e.g. prediction of injection/offtake at network nodes, scheduled TSO-DSO exchange profile.





#### SmartNet-Project.eu

This presentation reflects only the author's view and the Innovation and Networks Executive Agency (INEA) is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.