



Smart TSO-DSO interaction schemes, market architectures and ICT
Solutions for the integration of ancillary services from demand side
management and distributed generation

ENTSO-E Internal Ancillary Services Conference | Brussels, 10.11.2017

SmartNet project: TSO-DSO interaction architectures to enable DER
participation in ancillary services markets

Gianluigi Migliavacca (RSE)



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020
research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 691405

Agenda

- SmartNet project motivations
- Outline of SmartNet
- The simulation platform
- Five coordination schemes and their CBA
- Market architecture design
- Aggregation process
- The three technological pilots
- Some (preliminary) regulatory remarks

Motivations

- Increased reserve needs due to explosion of variable RES
- Opportunities from new DER in distribution?
- Five key questions:

Which ancillary services could be provided from entities located in distribution networks	How the architectures of dispatching services markets should be consequently revised
Which optimized modalities for managing the network at the TSO-DSO interface	What ICT on the distribution-transmission border to guarantee observability and control
Which implications on the on-going market coupling process	

Article 32

Tasks of distribution system operators in the use of flexibility

1. Member States shall provide the necessary regulatory framework to allow and incentivise distribution system operators to procure services in order to improve efficiencies in the operation and development of the distribution system, including local congestion management. In particular, regulatory frameworks shall enable distribution system operators to procure services from resources such as distributed generation, demand response or storage and consider energy efficiency measures, which may supplant the need to upgrade or replace electricity capacity and which support the efficient and secure operation of the distribution system. Distribution system operators shall procure these services according to transparent, non-discriminatory and market based procedures.

Distribution system operators shall define standardised market products for the services procured ensuring effective participation of all market participants including renewable energy sources, demand response, and aggregators. Distribution system operators shall exchange all necessary information and coordinate with transmission system operators in order to ensure the optimal utilisation of resources, ensure the secure and efficient operation of the system and facilitate market development.

Winter package assigns a role to DSOs for local congestion management, but not for balancing

The SmartNet project

<http://SmartNet-Project.eu>




- **architectures for optimized interaction between TSOs and DSOs** in managing the purchase of ancillary services from subjects located in distribution.
- **three national cases** (Italy, Denmark, Spain);
- ***ad hoc* simulation platform** (physical network, market and ICT)
- **CBA** to assess which TSO-DSO coordination scheme is optimal for the three countries.
- Use of **full replica lab** to test performance of real controller devices.
- **Three physical pilots** are also developed to demonstrate capability to monitoring and control distribution by the TSO and flexibility services that can be offered by distribution (thermal inertia of indoor swimming pools, distributed storage of radio-base stations).




Project video:

<https://vimeo.com/220969294/73d98edde6>



Overall project layout



Comparison of the national cases in a simulation environment and laboratory testing




Interaction between the three layers






How the simulator works

Simulation based on three layers






How the simulator works





How the simulator works


How the bidding process is simulated





How the simulator works


How the market process is simulated





How the simulator works


How the dispatching process is simulated






How the simulator works

How the physical layer is simulated




TSO-DSO coordination schemes

Centralized AS market model




5 possible coordination schemes TSOs & DSOs for AS by distributed flexibility resources


- Centralized AS market model
- Local AS market model
- Shared balancing responsibility model
- Common TSO-DSO AS market model
- Integrated flexibility market model




Local AS market model



Shared balancing responsibility model



Common TSO-DSO AS market model



Legend


Role (Actor)	
Centralized market	
Local market	
Coordinated market	
Pre-defined profile exchange	
Aggregation	
Market bids	
Pre-qualification	

- Literature review:
  - EPRI/JRC
  - REALISEGRID
  - e-Highway2050
- Proposed indicators:
  - **Enhanced provision of ancillary services:** total balancing cost (vs social welfare)
  - **Cost due to network limitations:** comparing costs taking network into account with Ideal situation (bus bar)
  - **Reduction of unwanted measures :** unexpected congestions solved with curtailment of load/generation, etc. Monetized at imbalance price or associated resource costs
  - **Reduced network losses**
  - **Emissions savings:** with standard emission rates for each generation technology and CO2 prices forecasted at studied horizon.

ICT costs include communication, market clearing software).... Steps :


1. Comparison of the coordination schemes in terms of functionalities and ICT
2. Convert each ICT system into a cost at target year

Main focus on issues that can differ between coordination schemes.





Objectives of proposed Market Design

- **Balancing** services
  - **Congestion** management
    - At the **transmission** grid level
    - At the **distribution** grid level (medium voltage)
  - In addition, the goal is also to **avoid creating voltage problems** in the distribution grid (medium voltage)
- ➔ Requirement for **transmission** and **distribution grid** models in the **market clearing algorithm**



- **Time horizon** of the market (optimisation window, delivery period)
- **Time granularity** of the market horizon
- **Market clearing frequency**
  - The shorter, the better, but limited by optimization problem complexity (market clearing duration)
- **Rolling optimisation concept**
  - e.g. horizon = 30 min, frequency = 5 min, granularity = 5 min
  - Results for the **first** time step are a **firm** decision. It contains the actual activation of flexible assets and has to be followed by the aggregators/owners
  - Results for the **next** time steps are (mostly) **advisory** decisions. They will assist the aggregators and the TSO to anticipate the availability of flexibility in the upcoming time steps.






Bidding Dimension

Market products

- **Bids** are energy offers/asks, defined by **quantity/price** pairs in their simplest form
- **Curtailable** or non-curtailable
- Extension to **multi-period bids** when time horizon is larger than the time granularity
- **Complex constraints**
  - **Temporal** constraints
  - **Logical** constraints
- **Binary variables** are needed to express some of these constraints (e.g. a simple non-curtailable bid requires a binary variable)



Temporal constraints (Intra-bid)


- **Accept-All-Time-Steps-or-None:** → Profile tracking
- **Ramping:** → Turbines
- **Max. number of activations:** → Avoiding wear & tear
- **Max. duration of activation:** → Air conditioning
- **Min. duration of activation:** → Plant efficiency
- **Min. delay between activations:** → Avoiding wear & tear; cool-down and warm-up
- **Integral:** → Electric storage



Logical constraints (Inter-bid)

- **Implication:** → Series factory lines
- **Exclusive Choice:** → Parallel factory lines
- **Deferrability:** → Wet appliances

➔ **MISOCP Optimisation** problem



Additionally...

- Aggregators are not always expected to immediately activate flexibility as soon as it is “in the money”: strategies consider multiple market layers and multiple periods.
- A risk-premium is a “market discomfort” bid-up price delaying flexibility activation in real-time balancing markets when more profitable opportunities exist in the next markets
- The rationale behind this strategy is based on the fact that forecast errors are often correlated.

$$RiskPremium = f(next\ market, current\ market\ price, etc)$$

The aggregation algorithms

The aggregator acts on behalf of the service providers on the electricity market:

- determining the price and the quantity of individual bids (per node),
- performing aggregation (before bidding on the market)
- Performing disaggregation (after getting market clearing results)


Models	Aggregation approach
Atomic Loads	• Traces
CHP	• Physical
TCL	• Physical • Hybrid
Storage	• Physical
Curtable generation and sheddable loads	• Physical

TRACES: the aggregation is represented by all the possible combinations of feasible profiles of all the devices.

PHYSICAL (bottom-up): horizontal summation of power for the individual devices: the aggregator knows all of the parameters of each individual device and also its real time status. It becomes difficult to implement when many heterogeneous energy resources are included

HYBRID: uses a single, or a limited number of virtual devices in order to represent the entire population of aggregated devices. Such practice reduces the number of individual devices and avoids exhaustive bid parametrization. Should the number of clusters equal the number of individual devices, the hybrid approach becomes the physical, bottom-up, approach.

Pilot A: Distribution monitoring and control




Aggregation of information
in RT at TSO-DSO interconnection
(HV/MV transformer)

Voltage regulation
by generators connected at HV and
MV levels

Power-frequency regulation / balancing
by generators connected at HV and MV
levels

Pilot B: Ancillary services from indoor swimming pools




Congestion management
to better integrate PV, EV and HP

Price-based control
of thermal controllers of swimming
pools in summer houses

Balancing
of wind power with decreasing
contribution of thermal units



Pilot C: Ancillary services from radio-base stations




Some regulatory (preliminary) remarks

- TSOs could need to **share with DSOs part of responsibility** for the provision of ancillary services if the contribution from entities in distribution will grow.
- a **balance** has to be sought for between local optimality and the implementation of a harmonized pan-European design.
- **smaller DSOs have to integrate their efforts** in order to be fit for the new responsibilities.
- **real-time market architecture** must take into account the characteristics of the potential flexibility providers connected to distribution grids
- **aggregators** must be able to provide a simplified interface towards the market, hiding details of flexibility providers, and deliver efficient price signals to incentivize participation from distribution.
- **viable business models** must be available for all market participants, including DERs, aggregators and other customers.
- **network planning** will also have to facilitate better utilization of RES exploiting flexibility.



SmartNet-project webpage

<http://SmartNet-Project.eu>



About SmartNet

The **SmartNet** project aims from the need to find answers and propose new practical solutions to the increasing integration of Renewable Energy Sources in the existing electricity transmission network. The subsequent technological (Evolution) is not only affecting the structure of the electricity markets, but also the interactions between TSOs and DSOs.

The SmartNet project aims to provide optimized instruments and modalities to improve the coordination between the grid operators at national and local level (respectively the TSOs and DSOs) and the exchange of information for monitoring and for the acquisition of ancillary services (reserve and balancing, voltage balancing control, congestion management) from subjects located in the distribution segment (flexible load and distributed generators).

[Read more](#)

New Deliverables Available – Download Now!

- D1.1: Ancillary service provision by RES and DSM connected at distribution level in the future power system
- D1.3: Basic models for TSO- DSO coordination
- D3.1: ICT requirements specification

[Click on your feedback](#)

Latest News & Articles



Interactive online debate: TSO-DSO coordination
January 10, 2017
TSO-DSO coordination for electricity system balancing and congestion...

[Read more](#)



The Key is Flexibility
January 5, 2017
SmartNet partners at VTT discuss the complexities that DSOs will...

[Read more](#)



- Consultations
- Newsletters
- Deliverable preview

SIGN UP TO RECEIVE OUR BROCHURE AND NEWS ON SMARTNET ACTIVITIES

[SIGN UP FOR THE NEWSLETTER](#)



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 691425.




Interviews, News
The Key is Flexibility
January 5, 2017



Interviews
Prospective Developments and Challenges to Distribution Systems
November 14, 2016

We asked a few colleagues from our SmartNet partners, AIT, to...




Interviews
Grids in Transition: VITO/ EnergyVille on the Evolution of DSOs and TSOs
October 18, 2016

"If they want to go fast, system operators could go alone..."



Blog
Towards (close) harmony: options for enhanced TSO-DSO coordination
July 18, 2016

The SmartNet project is analyzing different possibilities for...



Blog
Stairway to Heaven: First steps in building up the three technological pilots
July 15, 2016

Three technological pilots to demonstrate different modalities...

SmartNet



SmartNet-Project.eu

This presentation reflects only the author's view and the Innovation and Networks Executive Agency (INEA) is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.



Thank You

Gianluigi Migliavacca

Contact Information

Affiliation: RSE S.p.A.
Phone: +39 02 3992 5489
Email: gianluigi.migliavacca@rse-web.it

